



# City of El Segundo

**ADDENDUM NO. 1**  
**to**  
**On-Demand Passenger Transportation**  
**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL # 22-04**

**Date: March 24, 2022**

**To: All Prospective Bidders**

The following questions have been raised regarding this Request for Proposal. The response to each question is provided here and made available to all prospective bidders. The information and clarification provided in this Addendum shall be considered as incorporated into the specifications for the above-referenced Proposal.

- 1. The bid due date and time has been amended to Tuesday, April 26, 2022, at 11:00 am.**
2. Please see the attached Supplement and Addendum 1 for bidder questions and the City's responses to them.

As evidence that the BIDDER has read this Addendum, the BIDDER must acknowledge same in the space provided below and **submit this Addendum with the Bid Proposal**. Failure to provide such acknowledgement may render the bid as non-responsive and may be subject to rejection.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Print Company Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**CITY OF EL SEGUNDO**  
**On-Demand Passenger Transportation**  
**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL # 22-04**

**ADDENDUM NO. 1 SUPPLEMENT**

1. **Question:** To give offerors enough time to prepare a thoughtful response, including the required legal review of all contractual terms and conditions, will the City please consider extending the deadline to April 26, 2022? Our team would appreciate any flexibility on timelines here.  
**Answer:** Yes, we have extended the bid deadline to April 26, 2022 at 11:00am.
2. **Question:** Given the short timeframe, would the City consider accepting digital submission in lieu of mailed hard copies?  
**Answer:** No, since the deadline has been extended please submit hard copies.
3. **Question:** Who is currently providing the services described in section 1, Background and History of the RFP?  
**Answer:** Lyft is currently providing the services described in section 1.
4. **Question:** The RFP notes that "The contract shall be a firm-fixed price contract." To be inclusive of non-dedicated service models that utilize per-trip pricing (e.g. transportation network companies), will the City consider an alternative approach that allows the per-trip price billed to each rider to vary based on travel time, travel distance, etc. to align with taxi and TNC rate cards?  
**Answer:** Yes, the City would consider an alternative approach.
5. **Question:** The State of California has established minimum statutory requirements for the safe and legal delivery of transportation services through a transportation network company (TNC), including automobile insurance coverage. To encourage innovation in service delivery and allow TNCs to participate in this bid, will the City accept these statutory requirements as an alternative to the driver, vehicle, training, insurance, and other requirements described in the RFP and Professional Services Agreement, where they overlap?  
**Answer:** No, the City will only accept the limits as spelled out in the RFP.
6. **Question:** To encourage innovation in service delivery while still working to ensure rider safety, would the City consider alternative, real-time, technology-based mechanisms that strictly enforce zero tolerance drug and alcohol policies? These zero tolerance policies and real-time enforcement mechanisms are common to shared mobility platforms, and meet statutory requirements established by the State of California.  
**Answer:** Yes, the City would consider an alternative mechanism to enforce these policies.